A Proposal to Expand Climate Financing

Hafez Ghanem

Financing Needs for Climate Are Huge

- According to John Kerry (the USA climate envoy), the main constraint for climate action is lack of money.
- The Independent High Level Group on Climate Finance has calculated that developing countries (excluding China) need 2,425 billion US dollars a year to meet their climate objiectives as shown in the table to the right (all figures are in billions of US dollars):

Total annual climate
investment needed2,425

energy transition	1,500
adaptation and resilience	250
coping with loss and damage	300
natural capital and sustainable agriculture	300
just transition	25

Available Financing is Grossly Insufficient

- In 2009 there was an agreement that rich countries would provide developing countries \$100 billion/year for climate—a drop in the bucket.
- This target was finally reached in 2022--13 years later—as follows:

CLIMATE SUPPORT FOR DEVELOPING COUNTRIES	\$106.8 BILLION
bilateral support	\$44.2 billion
multilateral support	\$46.2 billion
private investment	\$14.4 billion
export credits	\$2.0 billion

How Were the \$100 billion Reached?

- Bilateral Official Development Assistance (ODA) was refocussed from other objectives to climate. The share of climate in ODA rose from 17 to 25% while total ODA declined slightly.
- The share of climate in multilateral assistance also rose from 21to 24%

Five Problems with the Current System of Climate Financing

- The amount of resources mobilized is miniscule compared to the needs—\$100 billion compared to \$2,400 billion.
- It diverts resources from development activities such as health, education and food security towards climate which raises economic and ethical issues.
- Most of the financing is in the form of loans rather than grants at a time when half of low income countries are in debt distress.
- Vey little private sector participation.
- Insufficient good quality projects with big impact.

The World Needs an International Green Bank

- Green Bank would identify, develop and supervise the implementation of climate mitigation projects.
- It would only finance private sector mitigation projects (mainly energy, agriculture and nature protection) and would not add to governments' debt.
- Green Bank would develop new and innovative financial instruments and expand the use of existing instruments, particularly green bonds, debt for climate swaps, and carbon markets.
- It would provide a platform for discussions on climate financing between countries, the private sector and civil society.

Green Bank Would be Different from Existing Institutions

- It would be a public private partnership, with equal voice for countries of the North, countries of the South and private actors (including the private sector, foundations and civil society)
- It would raise financing by the sale of green bonds and carbon offsets; as well as other mechanisms that capitalize on the public's desire to finance climate.
- Because of its public-private nature and because it would only finance private projects it should be less impacted by politics.
- It could be totally independent or part of the World Bak Group but with separate governance.

Thank You

